

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/005,884	Applicant(s) DURUOZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Andy Ho	Art Unit 2194	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Andy Ho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brian N. Young (Reg. No. 48,602).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

61

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


WILLIAM THOMSON
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims of the amendment filed 6/12/2006 are allowable over the cited references. The examiner suggested the applicant to correct a 112 issue in claim 61. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the change in an examiner's amendment.